

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,130	12/18/2001		Zhiming Zhou	56008US002	5126
32692	7590	09/24/2004		EXAM	INER
3M INNOV PO BOX 334		PROPERTIES CO	MOORE, MARGARET G		
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1712	

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/025,130	ZHOU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Margaret G. Moore	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	I of extension and the corresponding amo of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:	
(a) _ they raise new issues that would require furtl	her consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) _ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s)·	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · ———	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been consee Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a) will not be entered or b would be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:	
Claim(s) allowed: 30 to 32.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 2 to 11, 13 to 22, 25, 27 to 33.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:		Margaret G. Moore Primary Examiner
Patent and Tradamark Office		Art Unit: 1712

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants incorrectly argue that EP 380 236 fails to teach the electron groups required by the claims. Note examples 3 and 5 and the teachings on the bottom of page 6. As noted in the first office action, only a small amount of ionized groups are desirable and considering the amount of tertiary amine groups in the examples, the copolymers in '236 will inherently contain at least some tertiary amine groups.

Note also that 5,461,134 does not correspond to EP 380,236, as indicated by applicants. 236 claims priority to US 300,346 (Fited 1/89) and this is not the serial number (or a related serial number) for 5,461,134.